Thank you, Senator Kerry.

Administrator Almquist, did I understand you to say that the

people in Darfur supported the militia and the rebels because they

didn’t trust the Sudanese Government?

Why, then, would those rebels be attacking the

World Food Programme convoys if they were bringing food to help

the people that support them?

I take it that that really plays into the hands

of the Sudanese Government, which is reluctant to provide the security

for the convoys, is that correct?

Well, it makes it quite apparent of the absolute

tragedy taking place in the Darfur area and the cooperation among

some very bad people who make folks that are already suffering

suffer even more. I admire what you do and appreciate what you

do.

Envoy Williamson, I want to ask you just one question. You referred

to the five permanent members of the Security Council. One

of those is China. Is that correct?

And you referred to them, in some cases, slowing

down U.N. efforts in Darfur—‘‘them’’ being the permanent

members?

And I understand that right now there’s a Chinese

freighter going up and down the east coast of Africa, trying

to drop off weapons for Mozambique. Is that correct?

Or, Zimbabwe.

And do we know if they’re supplying any weapons

in the Sudan?

That they are supplying some?

Is there any pressure point on the Chinese?

They seem to be certainly profiting from the sale of arms in Africa

and slowing down movement by the U.N. on the Security Council,

and known to be a supplier within—to the Sudanese army, I suppose.

Is there any place we can put pressure on that we’re not trying

to? Or are we trying to?

So, there’s an embargo on sales of arms into

Darfur, but there’s no embargo on the Sudanese Government?

So, the people that are suffering find it even

harder to protect themselves, and the people they’re suffering from

still—have open access to the weapons?

Thank you, sir.